Appendix B

Proposed Questions to the Police and Crime Commissioner

- 1. What are the early indications of the implications of the McCloud/Sergeant and Matthews rulings on pensions costs for TVP and how will this additional cost be funded?
- 2. Why was Option 2, to increase the council tax precept by 2.00%, which would have generated an additional funding of £4.3m not the preferred option? What would have been the implications on the service and to residents
- 3. What will the implications of this budget be in terms of delivering the key objectives in your Police and Criminal Justice Plan and how will the success of this extra funding be measured?
- 4. In relation to the transfer of £12m from the Improvement and Performance reserve to help fund the aborted ERP solution with Surrey and Sussex (Equip), how can the Panel and residents be assured that future TVP IT projects be more successful and not waste financial resource?
- 5. How does Thames Valley fare in relation to other Forces on Police Funding and does the PCC agree with his predecessor that Thames Valley does not receive a fair settlement?
- 6. Police Community Support Officers are an important resource for local policing throughout the Thames Valley in terms of visibility to the community. What more can be done to recruit this useful resource?
- 7. In relation to the identified risk of TVP failing to recruit and retain the additional 231 police officers (and 13 for SEROCU), as part of the National Police Uplift Programme (PUP), and the resultant loss of part of the grant, how optimistic is the PCC of the Force achieving this target?
- 8. With almost £1.3m in the revenue budget for the Office of the PCC, how does this budget cost, compare to other PCC offices?